

Annex A: glossary of terms

Allegation: An allegation may concern the conduct of a person or persons serving with the police or the direction and control of a Police force. It is made by someone defined as a complainant under the Police Reform Act 2002 (see 'complainant' below). An allegation may be made by one or more complainants. A complaint case may contain one or many allegations. For example, a person may allege that they were pushed by an officer and that the officer was rude to them. This would be recorded as two separate allegations forming one complaint case. An allegation is recorded against an allegation category.

Chief officer: 'Chief officer' is a collective term that refers to the heads of police forces (chief constables for all forces except the Metropolitan Police and City of London Police, which are each headed by a commissioner).

Complainants: Under the Police Reform Act 2002, a complaint may be made by:

- a member of the public was adversely affected by the matter complained about, or is acting on behalf of someone who was adversely affected by the matter complained about
- a member of the public who claims to be the person in relation to whom the conduct took place
 - claims to have been adversely affected by the conduct
 - claims to have witnessed the conduct, or
 - is acting on behalf of someone who satisfies one of the above three criteria
- a member of the public can be said to be a witness to the conduct if, and only if: they have acquired their knowledge of the conduct in a manner which would make them a competent witness capable of giving

admissible evidence of that conduct in criminal proceedings, or

- they possess or have in their control anything that could be used as admissible evidence in such proceedings

- a person acting on behalf of someone who falls within any of the three categories above. This person would be classed as an 'agent' or 'representative' and must have the written permission of the complainant to act on their behalf. A person is 'adversely affected' if they suffer distress or inconvenience, loss or damage, or are put in danger or at risk by the conduct complained of. This might apply, for example, to other people present at the incident, or to the parent of a child or young person, or a friend of the person directly affected. It does not include someone distressed by watching an incident on television.

One complaint case can have multiple complainants attached to it and one individual can make more than one complaint within the reporting year.

Subjects: Under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), complaints can be made about persons serving with the police as follows:

- Police officers of any rank
- Police staff, including community support officers and traffic wardens
- Special Constables

Complaints can also be made about contracted staff who are designated under section 39 of the PRA 2002 as a detention officer or escort officer by a chief officer.

Complaint recording

Complaint case: A single complaint case may have one or more allegations attached to it, made by one or more complainants, against one or more persons serving with the police.

Changes to the Police Complaint & Conduct regulations in 2020 placed a greater emphasis on handling complaints in a *reasonable and proportionate* way and in a more customer focused manner.

Reports of dissatisfaction are logged and assessed in line with Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act 2002 and IOPC Statutory Guidance 2020 and this assessment can result in one of a number of outcomes;

Non-Schedule 3 or early service recovery. PSD will make early contact with the complainant to understand their concerns and their dissatisfaction and, where the nature of their dissatisfaction allows, will try to resolve it to their satisfaction. This avoids a more lengthy process of investigation and can provide a complainant with an early resolution, explanation or other satisfactory outcome. If at the end of this process, it cannot be resolved it may be dealt with as a formal complaint within Schedule 3.

Schedule 3 Recorded – IOPC Statutory Guidance stipulates where complaints must be recorded and those that must be investigated; these include the more serious matters. Complaints which do not require an investigation will be handled in a *reasonable and proportionate* manner to try to achieve an earlier resolution to the complainant's satisfaction, while others will be investigated formally. At the end of this process if the complainant remains dissatisfied with the outcome of the complaint they have a right of review by either the Local Policing Body or the IOPC, depending on the seriousness of the allegation.

Referral to Independent Office for Police Conduct – some complaints may be referred to the IOPC and they may decide to independently investigate or oversee a police investigation. The IOPC also monitor our complaints system.

Investigations:

- Local investigations: Are carried out entirely by the police. Complainants have a right of appeal to the relevant appeal body following a local investigation.
- Supervised investigations: Are carried out by the police under their own direction and control. The IOPC sets out what the investigation should look at (which is referred to as the investigation's 'terms of reference') and will receive the investigation report when it is complete. Complainants have a right of appeal to the IOPC following a supervised investigation.

Investigation outcomes:

Where a complaint has been investigated but the investigation has not been subject to special procedures, or a complaint has been handled otherwise than by investigation, the outcome of the complaint should include a determination of whether:

- the service provided by the police was acceptable
- the service provided by the police was not acceptable, or
- we have looked into the complaint, but have not been able to determine if the service provided was acceptable

Reflective Practice Review Process:

Practice Requiring Improvement (PRI) is an appropriate outcome within Police Regulations for low level matters of complaint or conduct following a PSD investigation. The Reflective Practice Review Process (RPRP) is the process undertaken by officers to reflect upon their involvement and review the practice that requires improvement. Where a matter is raised or identified internally and does not reach the threshold for PSD investigation or disciplinary action, it should be handled locally by line managers and supervisors under RPRP. The process

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

should be a clear focus on reflection, learning from mistakes and focusing on actions / development to improve and, where necessary, put the issue right and prevent it from happening again. RPRP should be used for low-level intervention and performance issues that do not warrant a written warning or above or Unsatisfactory Performance Procedures (UPP).

Gross Misconduct: A breach of the Standards of Professional Behaviour so serious that dismissal would be justified.

Misconduct: A breach of the Standards of Professional Behaviour

Misconduct Hearing: A type of formal misconduct proceeding for cases where there is a case to answer in respect of gross misconduct or where the police officer has a live final written warning and there is a case to answer in the case of a further act of misconduct. The maximum outcome at a Misconduct Hearing would be dismissal from the Police Service.

Misconduct Meeting: A type of formal misconduct proceeding for cases where there is a case to answer in respect of misconduct, and where the maximum outcome would be a final written warning.

Sub judice: After recording a complaint, the investigation or other procedure for dealing with the complaint may be suspended because the matter is considered to be sub judice. This is when continuing the investigation / other procedure would prejudice a criminal investigation or criminal Proceedings. There are a number of factors Police forces should consider when deciding whether a suspension is appropriate. The complainant must be notified in writing when the investigation / other procedure into their complaint is suspended and provided with an explanation for the decision. A complainant has the right to ask the IOPC to review that decision.

Withdrawn: A complainant may decide to withdraw one or more allegations in their complaint or that they wish no further action to be taken in relation to their allegation/ complaint. In this case, no further action may be taken with regard to the allegation/ complaint.

Police Terminology

AA: Appropriate Authority

ANPR: Automatic Number Plate Recognition

ATOC: (Association of Train Operating Companies) agreements.

To be authorised to travel within the ATOC agreement warranted officers must sign to join the scheme and an agreed amount is taken from their wages at source. When they begin working at CoLP officers are provided with a warrant card which previously permitted travel on the over ground trains within a specific region in the south east of the UK. As long as the warrant card did not have the words 'Not for Travel' across it officers were considered to be in the ATOC agreement. This has since changed and officers now receive a Rail Travel card to be shown alongside their warrant card to confirm they are in the agreement.

Other forces have similar schemes including Essex Police who issues their officers in the agreement with a travel card. This has to be shown with a warrant card. With both CoLP and Essex Police when officers leave the force they are required to hand back both their warrant and travel cards. If they are transferring forces and required to travel by train the expectation would be that they would buy a train ticket on their first day before their new warrant card and now travel card are issued.

BWV : Body Worn Video

CAD: Computer Aided Dispatch

CCJ: County Court Judgement

DPS: Directorate Professional Standards (Metropolitan Police Service)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

DSI: Death or Serious Injury

ECD: Economic Crime Directorate

FI: Financial Investigator

HCP: Health Care Professionals

IOPC: Independent Office of Police Conduct

LP: Local Policing

MIT: Major Investigation Team

MPS: Metropolitan Police Service

NFA: No Further Action

Niche: City of London Crime and Intelligence Database

NLF: National Lead Force

NUT: National Union of Teachers

PCO: Public Carriage Office

PHV: Private Hire Vehicle

PMS: Property Management System

PNC: Police National Computer

POCA: Proceeds of Crime Act

PRI: Practice Requiring Improvement

P&T: Professionalism and Trust

SAR: Subject Access Request

SAR: Suspicious Activity Report

SIO: Senior Investigating Officer

SOP: Standard Operating Procedure

SO: Specialist Operations

STOT: Safer Transport Operations Team

TFG: Tactical Firearms Group

TfL: Transport for London

TPH: Taxi and Private Hire

IC Codes:

IC1 – White – North European

IC2 – Dark European

IC3 – Black

IC4 – (South) Asian

IC5 – Chinese, Japanese, or other South-East Asian

IC6 – Arabic or North African

IC9 – Unknown

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED